

ETSI Summit, Releasing the Flow

Regulation, Self-Regulation and Technical Standards for Data Protection, Data Security and Data Portability

> **Pierre Chastanet** Acting Head of Unit Cloud & Software DG CONNECT, European Commission

> > **19-April-2018**



Cloud & Data Technologies Regulation vs. Self-regulation

Regulatory challenges for Cloud Computing

- Fast development of technologies
- Regulatory framework developed for "static" environments, not necessarily fit for "dynamic" ICT environments !
- Current regulatory environment does not facilitate the uptake of cloud and data technologies !
- Different policy areas or activities → Complexity



Cloud & Data Technologies Regulation vs. Self-regulation

Regulatory objectives for Cloud Computing

- Appropriate regulatory environment
- Foster investment, innovation and cloud transformation
- Preserve important public values

Guiding principles of Self-regulation

- Balanced and relevant participation
- Openness, Inclusiveness and Transparency
- Good faith, Commitment and Constructiveness
- Clear and Unambiguous Objectives
- Legal Compliance



Cloud Data Protection Codes of Conduct (CoC)

The EC supports self-regulatory initiatives, which: -

- aim at facilitating the proper application of data protection laws in the specific context of cloud computing services
- promote trust and legal certainty
- Art.27 of **Directive 95/46/EC**: approval by the Working Party 29 required
- Art. 40 of the **GDPR**: approval by the DPAs (1MS) and the European Data Protection Board (EDPB) required
- 3 Data Protection Codes of Conduct for Cloud Computing
 - EUCoC (IaaS, PaaS, SaaS)
 - CISPE CoC (IaaS)
 - CSA CoC (IaaS, PaaS, SaaS)



Commission

Hybrid Approach Best practice in the FFD Proposal

- **Principles-based**, not detailed (Better Regulation):
 - The free movement of non-personal data principle
 - 'public security' the only exception
 - notifications and transparency for effective implementation
 - The principle of data availability for regulatory control by competent authorities

- supported by cooperation between competent authorities through single points of contact

fall-back approach – if there is no specific applicable cooperation mechanism



Commission

Hybrid Approach Best practice in the FFD Proposal

- Important role for self-regulation
 - Promoting development of self-regulatory codes of conduct for easier switching of provider and/or porting data back to in-house servers

- Cross-dependency with Cyber Security Act
 - Security and trust enabler for Free Flow of Data



Switching Providers & Porting Data

THE VENDOR LOCK-IN PROBLEM:

- Digital Single Market strategy, 2015: Vendor lock-in leads to trust problems around cloud adoption.

- Study "Switching of Cloud Service Providers" (in progress):

- The public consultation on building a European data economy (2017):

- 72% of business users of Cloud intends to switch providers;
- 45% of those experiences problems with switching;
- 56.8% of SMEs experiences problems with switching.





Complexity of porting/switching



- Data interdependencies tend to increase with :
 - type of cloud service consumed: higher complexity in SaaS versus IaaS
 - size of organization
- Data portability works if vendors:
 - are transparent about: data model / data schema /data semantics
 - build services based on open standards APIs and protocols for data movement



"SWIPO":

Self-regulatory Codes of Conduct for Switching Cloud Service Providers

Article 6 of Free Flow of Data Proposal:

- "The Commission shall encourage and facilitate the development of self-regulatory codes of conduct at Union level, in order to":
 - Define best practices
 - Define information requirements
 - → Deadline: 1 year after start of application, review after 2 years. Follow-up actions?

Process:

- Self-regulatory (Commission is observer)
- Open & inclusive process (open call)
- Initially 2 Codes: IaaS / SaaS (2 sub-WGs)
- Balanced representation: 6 co-chairs suggested by the Commission
- Qualification criteria for involvement: experience & expertise.



→Process launched on 12 December 2017.

→First meeting of the WGs: 17 April 2018.

9



Cloud Security Certification

• 6 billion devices will be connected to the internet by 2020 in the EU and threats are increasing!

CLOUD AT THE CORE !

CLOOD AT THE COKE !







- Proposal for a EU Cybersecurity Act, including the reform of ENISA and towards a European Cyber Security Certifications Framework
- Cloud security is a prerequisite for enabling the Free Flow of Data in the EU and promoting Cloud uptake

→ WG on Cloud Security Certification is exploring the possibility of developing a candidate European Cloud Security Certification Scheme

→ European Cloud Security Certification Scheme will promote scaleup of EU CSPs
10



Security Standards and industry-led Cloud Certification schemes

ITU-T X.1601,

ITU-T X.1631



International Organization for Standardization

ISO/IEC 17203, ISO/IEC 17826:2012, ISO/IEC 19041, ISO/IEC 19044, ISO 19086, ISO/IEC 19099, ISO/IEC 19831, ISO 19941, ISO 19944, ISO/IEC 20000-1, ISO 22301,ISO/IEC 24760-1, Family of ISO/IEC 2700x, ISO/IEC 29100, ISO/IEC 29101, ISO/IEC 29115.

OASIS TOSCA, OASIS CAMP NIST SP 500-299, Draft NIST SP 500-307, NIST SP 800-125, NIST SP 800-144

cloud

alliance[®] CSA CCM, CSA CTP, CSA A6, CSA CAIQ, CSA TCI, CSA PLA, CSA Attestation - OCF Level 2, CSA Attestation - OCF Level 1, CSA Self-Assessment - OCF Level 1

Evolution Evolution Approved DC Weinsteiner / Constant Weinsteiner / Const

EuroCloud Self-Assessment, EuroCloud StarAudit EuroCloud ApprovedDC



SNIA CDMI, DMTF DSP0243, DMTF DSP0263

Others





European Commission proposal for a Cybersecurity Certification Framework



This will enable the creation of sectorial EU certification **schemes** *for ICT products and services...*



...that are valid across the EU

Cloud could be one of those schemes



Conclusions

- Regulation to:
 - Provide legal certainty
 - Set core principles
- Codes of Conduct, Standards and Certification to:
 - Foster trust in cloud-based services
 - Increase geographical and vendor mobility of data
 - Ensure practical implementation of data protection and cybersecurity requirements
 - Importance of industry mobilisation (users/providers)
- Data protection and cybersecurity certification convergence?



